

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,569	05/03/2001	Wai Ming Hercule Kwan	· 2001P07771US	9693
7590 02/27/2004			EXAMINER	
Siemens Corporation Attn: Elsa Keller, Legal Administrator Intellectual Property Department 186 Wood Avenue South			HOOSAIN, ALLAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2645	
Iselin, NJ 088	330		DATE MAILED: 02/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Application No. Og/849,569 KWAN ET AL Examiner				
Examiner Altan Hoosain Alta Hoosain ART Unit Allan Hoosain ART Unit Allan Hoosain ART Unit ART Unit Allan Hoosain ART Unit ART Unit Allan Hoosain ART Unit A		Application No.	Applica)	
Allan Hoosain Allan	•	09/849,569	KWAN ET AL.	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of lines may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after Stx (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply is pecified above, the maintrum statutory principled and the statutory of this period for reply is pecified above, the maintrum statutory principled and patent of the period for reply is pecified above, the maintrum statutory principled and patent for reply is pecified above, the maintrum statutory period will apply and will expire Stx (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply is pecified above, the maintrum statutory principled and patent the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply is pecified above, the maintrum statutory minimum of thirty (20) days will be considered timely. - If the period for reply is pecified above, the maintrum statutory minimum of thirty (20) days will be considered timely. - If the period for reply is pecified above, the maintrum statutory minimum of thirty (20) days will be considered timely. - If the period for reply is pecified above, the maintrum statutory minimum of thirty (20) days will be considered timely. - If the period for reply is pecified above, the maintrum statutory minimum of thirty (20) days will be considered timely. - If the period for reply is pecified above, the maintrum statutory minimum of thirty (20) days will be considered timely. - If the period for reply is pecified above, the maintrum statutory minimum of thirty (20) days will be considered timely. - If the period for reply is pecified above, the maintrum statutory minimum of thirty (20) days will be considered timely. - If the period for reply is pecified above, the maintrum statutory m	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of line may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed alter \$1X (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory within the statutory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory epided will apply solid, (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than there months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any surrord patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(6). Status 1) ▼ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 May 2001. 2a) ▼ This action is FINAL. 2b) ▼ This action is FINAL. 2b) ▼ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ▼ Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-43 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-43 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ▼ The drawing(s) filed on 03 May 2001 is/are: a) ▼ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and		1		
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estancisors of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply sepecified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 May 2001. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is no condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to be the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 May 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 May 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or f		tion appears on the cover s	sheet with the correspondence a	ddress
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 May 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) d: If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. FOR 1.136(a). In no event, however, cation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimory period will apply and will expire SI, by statute, cause the application to be	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered tim X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this secome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 May 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>03 May 2001</u> .		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 May 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)[☑ This action is non-final.		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-43 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 03 May 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				ie merits is
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 03 May 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	position of Claims			
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 03 May 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	withdrawn from considerat		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 03 May 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 May 2001</u> is/ Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[in to the drawing(s) be held in e correction is required if the	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 	a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a claim for companies of the specific reference was included in 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign langue 4) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for companies of the specific reference was included in t	cuments have been receive cuments have been receive the priority documents have Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(at or a list of the certified cope domestic priority under 35 in the first sentence of the stage provisional application domestic priority under 35 domestic priority under 35	ved. ved in Application No ve been received in this Nationa a)). vies not received. U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional specification or in an Application on has been received. U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	al application) n Data Sheet. e a specific
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 Other:	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	-948) 5) 🔲 N	otice of Informal Patent Application (PT	

Art Unit: 2645

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1,3,7-8,10-16,24-36,40-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Knuth** (US 5,646,979) in view of **Luneau** (US 5,526,406).

As to Claims 1,7-8,13-14,16,27-28,29-32,35-36,42-43 with respect to Figures 1-2, **Knuth** teaches a system for delivering an audio announcement in a telecommunication system, the system comprising:

Art Unit: 2645

a. a base station, 2, coupled to a plain old telephone service (POTS) line and having a transceiver, 14, for communicating over an air interface (Figure 1);

b. a mobile unit, 42, operable to communicate with the base station over the air interface and having a speaker, 48 (Figure 2);

c. a recorded message subsystem at the base station for recording a voice message and associating the voice message with synthesized telephone numbers (first caller id information) (Col. 4, lines 60-65);

d. circuitry at the base station for receiving non-synthesized telephone numbers (second caller id information) from the POTS (Col. 4, lines 18-21);

e. logic at the base station for selecting the voice message in response to the second caller id information and transmitting the voice message to the mobile unit (Col. 4, lines 55-63); and

f. logic at the mobile unit for receiving the voice message and transmitting the voice message to the speaker (Col. 4, lines 38-46);

Knuth does not teach the following limitations:

"first caller ID information" and "second caller ID information"

Luneau teaches the limitations for screening incoming calls (Figure 7, labels 230,238). Having the cited art at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add caller ID capability to **Knuth's** invention for screening incoming callers as taught by **Luneau's** invention in order to provide calling party announcement services to users.

Art Unit: 2645

As to Claim 3, **Knuth** teaches the system of Claim 1 further comprising a plurality of mobile units (Col. 4, lines 55-56).

As to Claims 10,15, Knuth teaches the system of Claim 1:

Knuth does not teach the following limitation:

"wherein the logic compares the first and second caller id information to select the voice message"

Luneau teaches the limitations for matching caller Ids and selecting recorded name messages (Figure 7, labels 230,238,256). Having the cited art at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add caller ID comparing capability to Knuth's invention for screening incoming callers as taught by Luneau's invention in order to provide calling party announcement services to users.

As to Claims 11-12,25-26,40-41, **Knuth** teaches the system of Claim 1wherein the logic at the base station communicates the voice message from the base station to the mobile unit using a connection-oriented protocol (Col. 4, lines 35-40).

As to Claims 24,33-34, **Knuth** teaches the method of Claim 16 wherein playing the voice message comprises suppressing a second ring associated with an incoming call and playing the voice message in place of the second ring (Col. 4, lines 38-46).

Art Unit: 2645

4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Knuth** in view of **Luneau** and further in view of **Carrera** (US 6,474,494).

As to Claim 2, **Knuth** teaches the system of Claim 1 further comprising a memory at the base station for recording the voice message;

Knuth does not teach the following limitation:

"a flash memory"

Carrera teaches flash memory (Figure 7, labels 230,238). Having the cited art at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add flash memory capability to **Knuth's** invention for recording messages as taught by **Carrera's** invention in order to provide calling party announcement services to users.

5. Claims 4-6,9,17-20,37-39,22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Knuth** in view of **Luneau** and further in view of **Swan et al.** (US 5,978,451).

As to Claims 4-6,9,17-20,37-39,22-23, Knuth teaches the system of Claim 3 wherein:

Knuth does not teach the following limitation:

"logic at the base station selects which of the plurality of mobile units is to receive the voice message"

Swan teaches logic at a PCC 10 (base station) which selects which telephones to receive distinctive ringing messages (Col. 8, lines 1-16). Having the cited art at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add

Art Unit: 2645

selection of phones capability to **Knuth's** invention for sending messages as taught by **Swan's** invention in order to provide calling party announcement services to users.

6. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Knuth** in view of **Luneau** and further in view of **Fujioka et al.** (US 4,894,861).

As to Claim 21, Knuth teaches the method of Claim 20 further comprising:

Knuth does not teach the following limitation:

"transmitting the second caller id information in a text only form when the first and second caller id information do not match"

Fujioka teaches transmitting display messages (text) when caller ID do not match (Col. 4, lines 40-47). Having the cited art at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add display capability to **Knuth's** invention for sending non-match caller ID messages as taught by Fujioka's invention in order to provide calling party announcement services to users.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Couse (US 6,006,088) teaches determining the identity of incoming calls in a cordless telephone interface device.

Art Unit: 2645

Mizikovsky (US 5,559,860) teaches comparing caller ID in a mobile station and notifying called parties with selective alerts.

8. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231 or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 306-0377 (for customer service assistance)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Allan Hoosain** whose telephone number is (703) 305-4012. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Fan Tsang**, can be reached on (703) 305-4895.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Allan Hoosain Primary Examiner 2/23/04